# PROJECT ZERO Data Monitoring Packet

August, 2002 Statewide Implementation

Prepared by:

Policy Analysis and Program Evaluation Division Budget, Analysis and Financial Management Administration Michigan Family Independence Agency

### PROJECT ZERO SITES

Phase I - 6 Sites	7/01/1996	Phase II – 6	6 Sites 10/01/1997
Sites	Date Zero Achieved	Sites	Date Zero Achieved
Alpena*	7/30/1999	Berrien*	6/22/1999
Menominee*		Hillsdale*	7/30/1999
Midland*	12/16/1998	Kent*	8/31/1999
Ottawa*	9/15/1997	Manistee*	5/98, 4/99, 6/99
Wayne:		Wayne:	
Romulus*	6/22/1999	Greydale*.	2/29/2000
Tireman*	7/28/1999	Warren/Co	onner*12/21/1999

#### **Phase III - 23 Sites** 10/01/1998

Sites	Date Zero Achieved	Sites	Date Zero Achieved	Sites	Date Zero Achieved
Calhoun		Leelanau*5/9 Benzie*	5/99, 6/99, 8/99 1/11/1999 4/99, 8/99, 9/99 5/21/1999 8/10/1999 11/30/2000	Fort Wayne* Fullerton* Hamtramck* Lincoln Park*	7/26/1999 3/11/2000 9/27/2000 11/15/1999 9/29/1999

<sup>\*</sup> Achieved zero targeted cases without earned income. This list may not include all months of achievement.

#### PROJECT ZERO SITES, continued

Phase IV - 35 Sites 10/01/1999

		Upper Peninsula Sites	Date Zero Achieved		
		Alger* Schoolcraft* Delta* Gogebic* Ontonagon*	6/07/2000 6/2000 2/09/2001		
Lower Peninsula Sites	Date Zero Achieved	Lower Peninsula Sites	Date Zero Achieved	Lower Peninsula Sites	Date Zero Achieved
Antrim* Branch* Cass* Clinton* Shiawassee* Gladwin*	5/18/2000 3/07/2000 5/27/2000 5/16/2001	Grand Traverse* Kalamazoo* Kalkaska* Lenawee* Oakland* Oscoda*	9/29/2000 10/01/1999 3/29/2000 3/29/2000	Montmorency*	10/12/19997/28/20007/28/2000
Lower Peninsula Sites	Date Zero Achieved	Lower Peninsula Sites	Date Zero Achieved	Lower Peninsula Sites	Date Zero Achieved
Medbury/Concord* McNichols/Goddard*. Maddelein* Kercheval/Townsend*	9/27/2000 8/01/2000	Redford*Greenfield/Joy*Inkster*Schoolcraft/Stansbu	1/19/2000 10/31/2000 3/22/2000	Glendale/Trumbull* Grand River/Warren* Highland Park*	8/17/2000

<sup>\*</sup> Achieved zero targeted cases without earned income. This list may not include all months of achievement.

#### PROJECT ZERO SITES, continued

**Phase V – 19 Sites** 06/01/2000

Sites	Date Zero Achieved	Sites	Date Zero Achieved	Sites	Date Zero Achieved
Alcona* Allegan* Baraga* Cheboygan* Chippewa* Crawford* Dickinson*		Houghton*losco*Keweenaw*Livingston*Luce*Mackinac*	9/22/2000 10/11/2000 10/11/2000 6/20/2000 9/13/2000	Monroe* Ogemaw* Otsego*	2/23/2001 9/08/2000 8/08/2000 9/9/2000 10/09/2000

## **Phase VI –** 15 Sites 10/01/2000 Statewide Implementation

Sites	Date Zero Achieved	Sites	Date Zero Achieved	Sites	Date Zero Achieved
Arenac*Bay Clare*Gratiot*Huron*	3/23/2001	Ingham Isabella* Jackson Lapeer Macomb	4/03/2001	Muskegon Osceola* Saginaw Tuscola Washtenaw	5/17/2001

<sup>\*</sup> Achieved zero targeted cases without earned income. This list may not include all months of achievement.

#### **Project Zero**

**roject Zero** is a part of the Family Independence Agency's continuing welfare reform initiative, *To Strengthen Michigan Families (TSMF)*, which assists recipients making the transition from dependency to self-sufficiency.

Project Zero began in 1996 as a small research project in six areas of the state designed to identify certain personal characteristics, demographic information, recipient strengths and barriers to employment--real and perceived--of FIP recipients.

The purpose of Project Zero is twofold. First, it is to identify, through the survey noted above, barriers to employment that are unique to recipients without earned income. Secondly, the project utilized the data findings to assist state agencies and community organizations to develop and implement programs and services in all sites aimed at decreasing the number of recipients without earned income.

The first six Project Zero sites became operational in July, 1996 and additional sites were phased in over a period of years. Final statewide implementation was complete in October, 2000.

#### **Project Zero Data Monitoring Packet**

Data for the Project Zero data monitoring packet is obtained from the Family Independence Agency's Client Information System (CIS). The information is point-in-time data and is obtained at the beginning of each month. This data reflects the case transactions that occurred during the previous month.

Due to the nature of the public assistance caseload, the data displayed here represents a picture of a population that is constantly changing. Families move on and off public assistance, gain or lose employment and move into or out of the project area. Cases that are transferred into a project site from other parts of the state are immediately counted as part of the target caseload.

With statewide implementation (effective October 1, 2000) Project Zero is now a service delivery mechanism for all clients. The goal is 100 percent employment for all target cases. However, there are additional measures of success in Project Zero, including:

• The drop in the number and percentage of cases without earned income.

- Movement of recipients out of public assistance as demonstrated by the declining caseload.
- An increasing number of recipients who achieve self-sufficiency and improved lives for themselves and their children.
- Community collaboration and responsibility in developing support systems and removing employment barriers.

The goal of Project Zero is to reduce to zero the number of FIP households that have **no** earned income. Thus all cases required to work will be employed and have earned income. Cases are required to work if there is **any member** age 16 or older who:

- is a mandatory Work First participant or
- is not employed full time or
- is sanctioned or penalized *and*
- the case is open more than 60 days.

The formula for determining the number of cases required to work is:

(FIP cases) minus (cases open less than 60 days) minus (Work First deferred cases, i.e., those not required to work).

Recipients are <u>deferred</u> from Work First or are meeting participation requirements and are **not** required to work if they meet one of the criteria listed below:

- Parent of a child under 3 months.
- Single parent who personally provides care for a child under age 6 when adequate child care is not available.
- Under 16 or over 65 years of age.
- Full-time students between the ages of 16 and 18.
- Minor parent who is in school full-time.
- Temporary incapacity (expected to last 3 months or less).
- Applicant for SSI through the Social Security Administration.
- Providing care for an incapacitated spouse: Note Effective 10/01/02 the deferral for caring for an incapacitated spouse will require an assessment of need.
- Providing care for an SSI child or a child eligible for the Early-On program: Note Effective 4/01/02 this deferral is changing from automatic to mandating an assessment of the circumstances in the family. Parents must participate in Work First unless they are participating in Early On activity or are needed in the home to care for the child on SSI.

Parents with children in school or able to attend day care will only be deferred to the extent that parental care is needed.

- Full-time volunteer for VISTA, Job Corps or AmeriCorp.
- Participants in transitional housing programs funded by HUD or MSHDA.
- Experiencing a temporary critical event, such as homelessness or domestic violence (deferral of up to 3 months at local office discretion).

The focus of Project Zero is on achieving 100% employment for clients in the project sites who are required to work. To help clients obtain employment, FIA staff will be working with them to eliminate barriers to employment and promote independence.

Additional resources are being developed within the agency as well as efforts to coordinate with other departments such as Transportation, MSHDA, and the Department of Career Development. Community agencies are also being asked for their assistance in providing resources for the project effort. Training in Strength Based/Solution Focused programs has taken place for staff involved in the project.


Data Source: SW-210.

[lee]Project0/pz2002.doc./07-08-02

#### PROJECT ZERO TOTAL OF ALL STATEWIDE SITES

## Target Group Cases: With and Without Earned Income Point-in-Time Data


Percentage of Cases with Earned Income



#### PROJECT ZERO TOTAL OF ALL WAYNE COUNTY SITES

## Target Group Cases: With and Without Earned Income Point-in-Time Data

Percentage of Cases with Earned Income




**Wayne County sites** Tireman, Greydale, Warren/Conner, Forest/Ellery, Fort Wayne, Fullerton, Hamtramck, Lincoln Park, Taylor, Medbury/Concord, McNichols, Maddelein, Kercheval, Redford, Greenfield/Joy, Inkster, Schoolcraft, Glendale, Grand River/Warren and Highland Park.

#### PROJECT ZERO TOTAL OF ALL OUTSTATE SITES

# Target Group Cases: With and Without Earned Income Point-in-Time Data

Percentage of Cases with Earned Income



#### ALL COUNTIES USING PROJECT ZERO METHODOLOGY, AUGUST 2002

		0 1 1 10001	Total	Number	Number of	ΤΔΕ	RGET GROUP CA	SES
	COUNTY	September 1992* AFDC Caseload	FIP Caseload	Open < 60 Davs	Work First Deferred Cases	Number of Cases	Percent With Earned Income	Percent Without Earned Income
			Caseloau	< 60 Days	Deletted Cases	or Cases	Earned income	Earned income
1	Alcona	155	40	10	28	2	100%	0%
2	Alger	93	20	7	12	1	100%	0%
3	Allegan	1,118	266	60	184	22	59%	41%
4	Alpena	587	149	40	98	11	82%	18%
5	Antrim	246	46	9	36	1	100%	0%
6	Arenac	387	85	13	69	3	67%	33%
7	Baraga	184	23	7	14	2	50%	50%
8	Barry	671	162	44	95	23	74%	26%
9	Bay	2,438	559	103	333	123	55%	45%
10	Benzie	157	28	8	17	3	67%	33%
11	Berrien	4,351	1,393	263	934	196	59%	41%
12	Branch	652	136	53	59	24	42%	58%
13	Calhoun	3,531	1,000	188	665	147	61%	39%
14	Cass	1,032	259	76	164	19	68%	32%
15	Charlevoix	282	41	8	30	3	100%	0%
16	Cheboygan	412	99	29	69	1	0%	100%
17	Chippewa	552	119	39	63	17	53%	47%
18	Clare	938	203	31	149	23	35%	65%
19	Clinton	502	98	23	68	7	57%	43%
20	Crawford	258	54	13	35	6	33%	67%
21	Delta	667	134	26	82	26	100%	0%
22	Dickinson	286	69	15	51	3	100%	0%
23	Eaton	886	274	63	163	48	67%	33%
24	Emmet	253	48	11	37	0	0%	100%
25	Genesee	16,817	5,548	733	3,250	1,565	59%	41%
26	Gladwin	597	115	23	84	8	0%	100%
27	Gogebic	303	95	19	57	19	0%	100%
28	Grand Traverse	547	117	19	84	14	64%	36%
29	Gratiot	753	118	24	80	14	64%	36%
30	Hillsdale	674	167	62	74	31	74%	26%
31	Houghton	573	98	16	64	18	94%	6%
32	Huron	566	84	23	47	14	57%	43%
33	Ingham	6,048	1,518	278	960	280	64%	36%
34	Ionia	821	201	56	115	30	47%	53%
35	losco	427	112	22	77	13	62%	38%
36	Iron	168	50	11	32	7	100%	0%
37	Isabella	923	218	46	143	29	48%	52%
38	Jackson	3,136	985	140	611	234	42%	58%
39	Kalamazoo	4,256	1,326	265	762	299	36%	64%
40	Kalkaska	257	39	13	25	1	100%	0%
41	Kent	7,803	3,591	595	2,305	691	56%	44%
42	Keweenaw	15	3	1	2	0	0%	100%

<sup>\*</sup> September 1992 AFDC caseload reflects caseload prior to implementation of To Strengthen Michigan Families. Note: Percents may not add due to rounding.

#### ALL COUNTIES USING PROJECT ZERO METHODOLOGY, AUGUST 2002

		September 1992*	Total	Number	Number of	TAF	RGET GROUP CA	SES
	COUNTY		FIP	Open	Work First	Number	Percent With	Percent Without
		AFDC Caseload	Caseload	< 60 Days	Deferred Cases	of Cases	Earned Income	Earned Income
43	Lake	362	117	26	74	17	71%	29%
44	Lapeer	908	186	39	113	34	47%	53%
45	Leelanau	113	35	11	23	1	100%	0%
46	Lenawee	1,495	357	96	223	38	74%	26%
47	Livingston	720	109	23	79	7	57%	43%
48	Luce	111	35	9	22	4	50%	50%
49	Mackinac	95	22	3	18	1	0%	100%
50	Macomb	6,108	1,698	261	1,158	279	55%	45%
51	Manistee	400	86	19	54	13	62%	38%
52	Marquette	848	170	36	108	26	58%	42%
53	Mason	503	135	29	89	17	71%	29%
54	Mecosta	745	148	38	99	11	73%	27%
55	Menominee	243	92	27	54	11	55%	45%
56	Midland	1,169	216	41	134	41	61%	39%
57	Missaukee*	263						
58	Monroe	2,000	493	107	355	31	58%	42%
59	Montcalm	1,134	235	48	139	48	35%	65%
60	Montmorency	202	34	10	19	5	20%	80%
61	Muskegon	4,660	2,147	338	1,059	750	38%	62%
62	Newaygo	765	237	60	159	18	39%	61%
63	Oakland	10,819	2,716	385	1,867	464	56%	44%
64	Oceana	483	195	48	99	48	48%	52%
65	Ogemaw	545	122	24	86	12	83%	17%
66	Ontonagon	124	32	8	18	6	83%	17%
67	Osceola	497	111	23	81	7	57%	43%
68	Oscoda	172	48	14	31	3	33%	67%
69	Otsego	191	51	9	40	2	100%	0%
70	Ottawa	1,238	350	88	234	28	46%	54%
71	Presque Isle	189	33	6	24	3	100%	0%
72	Roscommon	572	127	21	103	3	100%	0%
73	Saginaw	7,302	2,988	392	1,469	1,127	58%	42%
74	St. Clair	2,745	750	173	370	207	53%	47%
75	St. Joseph	1,026	234	52	171	11	55%	45%
76	Sanilac	729	175	47	102	26	31%	69%
77	Schoolcraft	199	33	9	22	2	50%	50%
78	Shiawassee	1,196	245 199	66 51	146	33 28	79%	21%
79	Tuscola	958 1,811	199 505	109	120 324	<u>28</u> 72	54% 60%	46% 40%
80 81	Van Buren Washtenaw		919	109	324 537		36%	
81		3,048 90,574	27,469	3,146	15,030	235 9,293	36% 40%	64% 60%
82 83	Wayne Wexford/Missaukee**	90,574	27,469 226	3,146	15,030	9,293 27	40%	
రర		213,202	63,770	9,587	37,216		48% <b>46%</b>	52% <b>54%</b>
	Statewide Total	213,202	03,//U	9,587	31,210	16,967	40%	<b>54</b> %

<sup>\*</sup> September 1992 AFDC caseload reflects caseload prior to implementation of To Strengthen Michigan Families.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Missaukee County is now part of a dual county - Missaukee/Wexford - and data is combined under Wexford. Note: Percents may not add due to rounding.

#### ALL COUNTIES USING PROJECT ZERO METHODOLOGY, AUGUST 2002

	WAYNE COUNTY	September 1992*	Total	Number	Number of	TAR	RGET GROUP CA	SES
	DISTRICT OFFICES	AFDC Caseload	FIP Caseload	Open < 60 Days	Work First Deferred Cases	Number of Cases	Percent With Earned Income	Percent Without Earned Income
	ZONE VII**							
41	Fort Wayne	3,343	1,462	147	928	387	44%	56%
43	Glendale	2,671	1,922	227	950	745	42%	58%
49	Grand River/Warren	2,050	877	134	432	311	46%	54%
55	Hamtramck	4,758	925	86	617	222	62%	38%
56	Highland Park	2,383	758	76	368	314	35%	65%
57	Medbury	2,714	1,119	89	520	510	42%	58%
59	McNichols/Goddard	4,346	1,195	113	625	457	32%	68%
73	Forest/Ellery	2,594	1,269	117	707	445	38%	62%
74	Kercheval	2,815	940	110	460	370	44%	56%
76	Maddelein		2,271	250	1,182	839	38%	62%
79	Warren/Conner	2,943	1,547	187	764	596	39%	61%
80	Lafayette/Hospital Cooridor	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	TOTAL	30,617	14,285	1,536	7,553	5,196	40%	60%
	ZONE VIII**							
13	Redford	3,970	909	85	657	167	47%	53%
14	Lincoln Park	3,092	862	97	576	189	43%	57%
15	Greydale	4,706	2,165	304	1,180	681	38%	62%
17	Greenfield/Joy	4,385	1,700	211	1,055	434	39%	61%
18	Taylor	3,328	804	130	482	192	39%	61%
19	Inkster	3,001	1,571	195	903	473	50%	50%
32	Tireman	3,223	1,787	159	840	788	30%	70%
35	Schoolcraft	4,131	1,720	228	917	575	39%	61%
39	Fullerton	4,303	1,624	192	837	595	41%	59%
	TOTAL	34,139	13,142	1,601	7,447	4,094	41%	59%
99	WAYNE - OTHER	1	42	9	30	3	0%	100%
WAY	NE COUNTY TOTAL	71,316	27,469	3,146	15,030	9,293	40%	60%
OUT	STATE TOTAL	122,628	36,301	6,441	22,186	7,674	54%	46%
STA	TE TOTALS	213,203	63,770	9,587	37,216	16,967	46%	54%
PRC	JECT SITE TOTAL	N/A	63,770	9,587	37,216	16,967	46%	54%

<sup>\*</sup> September 1992 AFDC caseload reflects caseload prior to implementation of To Strengthen Michigan Families.

Note: Percents may not add due to rounding.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Wayne County Districts have been realigned into Zones VII and VIII as outlined above effective August, 2002.

#### CASES WITHOUT EARNED INCOME USING PROJECT ZERO METHODOLOGY

			JULY, 1996			JULY, 2002			AUGUS	ST, 2002	
	COUNTY	Target Group Cases	Number Without Earned Income	Percent Without Earned Income	Target Group Cases	Number Without Earned Income	Percent Without Earned Income	Target Group Cases	Number Without Earned Income	Percent Without Earned Income	Percent Change From Previous Month
1	Alcona	73	26	36%	4	3	75%	2	0	0%	-100%
2	Alger	53	23	43%	3	1	33%	1	0	0%	-100%
3	Allegan	402	217	54%	23	7	30%	22	9	41%	29%
4	Alpena	294	106	36%	15	4	27%	11	2	18%	-50%
5	Antrim	80	36	45%	2	0	0%	1	0	0%	0%
6	Arenac	175	75	43%	4	0	0%	3	1	33%	0%
7	Baraga	104	54	52%	5	3	0%	2	1	50%	-67%
8	Barry	254	114	45%	23	11	48%	23	6	26%	-45%
9	Bay	1,397	566	41%	141	63	45%	123	55	45%	-13%
10	Benzie	46	19	41%	2	1	50%	3	1	33%	0%
11	Berrien	2,031	1,073	53%	194	77	40%	196	80	41%	4%
12	Branch	245	131	53%	29	11	38%	24	14	58%	27%
13	Calhoun	1,681	913	54%	150	69	46%	147	58	39%	-16%
14	Cass	471	307	65%	21	1	5%	19	6	32%	500%
15	Charlevoix	75	33	44%	3	0	0%	3	0	0%	0%
16	Cheboygan	160	58	36%	2	2	100%	1	1	100%	-50%
17	Chippewa	233	115	49%	13	4	31%	17	8	47%	100%
18	Clare	495	242	49%	18	10	56%	23	15	65%	50%
19	Clinton	223	91	41%	7	0	0%	7	3	43%	0%
20	Crawford	159	65	41%	7	4	57%	6	4	67%	0%
21	Delta	411	207	50%	31	10	32%	26	0	0%	-100%
22	Dickinson	185	105	57%	7	3	43%	3	0	0%	-100%
23	Eaton	463	234	51%	56	18	32%	48	16	33%	-11%
24	Emmet	102	40	39%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	0%
25	Genesee	9,926	6,135	62%	1,660	661	40%	1,565	637	41%	-4%
26	Gladwin	269	91	34%	8	5	63%	8	8	100%	60%
27	Gogebic	220	144	65%	18	9	50%	19	10	53%	11%
28	Grand Traverse	201	87	43%	14	2	14%	14	5	36%	150%
29	Gratiot	378	201	53%	14	4	29%	14	5	36%	25%
30	Hillsdale	228	129	57%	30	14	47%	31	8	26%	-43%
31	Houghton	307	118	38%	18	3	17%	18	1	6%	-67%
32	Huron	196	76	39%	17	8	47%	14	6	43%	-25%
33	Ingham	3,323	1,595	48%	317	104	33%	280	100	36%	-4%
34	Ionia	355	179	50%	33	14	42%	30	16	53%	14%
35	losco	194	87	45%	14	5	36%	13	5	38%	0%
36	Iron	97	45	46%	7	2	29%	7	0	0%	0%
37	Isabella	420	206	49%	37	19	51%	29	15	52%	-21%
38	Jackson	1,620	895	55%	241	134	56%	234	135	58%	1%
39	Kalamazoo	2,054	1,225	60%	301	193	64%	299	190	64%	-2%
40	Kalkaska	68	34	50%	3	0	0%	1	0	0%	0%
41	Kent	3,889	2,383	61%	696	292	42%	691	301	44%	3%
42	Keweenaw	4	2	50%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	0%

Note: Percents may not add due to rounding.

#### CASES WITHOUT EARNED INCOME USING PROJECT ZERO METHODOLOGY

			JULY, 1996			JULY, 2002			AUGUS	ST, 2002	
	COUNTY	Target Group Cases	Number Without Earned Income	Percent Without Earned Income	Target Group Cases	Number Without Earned Income	Percent Without Earned Income	Target Group Cases	Number Without Earned Income	Percent Without Earned Income	Percent Change From Previous Month
43	Lake	159	91	57%	17	9	53%	17	5	29%	-44%
44	Lapeer	309	134	43%	38	21	55%	34	18	53%	-14%
45	Leelanau	25	11	44%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	0%
46	Lenawee	697	392	56%	42	12	29%	38	10	26%	-17%
47	Livingston	272	141	52%	5	1	20%	7	3	43%	200%
48	Luce	74	35	47%	2	0	0%	4	2	50%	0%
49	Mackinac	44	23	52%	1	1	100%	1	1	100%	0%
50	Macomb	3,358	1,791	53%	302	126	42%	279	126	45%	0%
51	Manistee	199	94	47%	11	4	36%	13	5	38%	25%
52	Marquette	481	183	38%	31	13	42%	26	11	42%	-15%
53	Mason	222	101	45%	19	6	32%	17	5	29%	-17%
54	Mecosta	384	206	54%	14	9	64%	11	3	27%	-67%
55	Menominee	116	57	49%	12	3	25%	11	5	45%	67%
56	Midland	676	284	42%	42	17	40%	41	16	39%	-6%
57	Missaukee*	127	54	43%							
58	Monroe	948	466	49%	37	15	41%	31	13	42%	-13%
59	Montcalm	422	229	54%	37	22	59%	48	31	65%	41%
60	Montmorency	86	30	35%	11	9	82%	5	4	80%	-56%
61	Muskegon	2,344	1,370	58%	787	470	60%	750	465	62%	-1%
62	Newaygo	297	183	62%	23	15	65%	18	11	61%	-27%
63	Oakland	6,042	3,631	60%	496	215	43%	464	205	44%	-5%
64	Oceana	191	83	43%	39	16	41%	48	25	52%	56%
65	Ogemaw	268	97	36%	14	2	14%	12	2	17%	0%
66	Ontonagon	60	34	57%	5	0	0%	6	1	17%	0%
67	Osceola	266	141	53%	9	3	33%	7	3	43%	0%
68	Oscoda	91	44	48%	3	0	0%	3	2	67%	0%
69	Otsego	72	33	46%	6	3	50%	2	0	0%	-100%
70	Ottawa	376	161	43%	38	19	50%	28	15	54%	-21%
71	Presque Isle	65	24	37%	4	0	0%	3	0	0%	0%
72	Roscommon	307	118	38%	14	6	43%	3	0	0%	-100%
73	Saginaw	4,616	2,435	53%	1,150	459	40%	1,127	472	42%	3%
74	St. Clair	1,207	587	49%	228	108	47%	207	98	47%	-9%
75	St. Joseph	396	248	63%	17	5	29%	11	5	45%	0%
76	Sanilac	224	85	38%	30	19	63%	26	18	69%	-5%
77	Schoolcraft	121	66	55%	2	1	50%	2	1	50%	0%
78	Shiawassee	488	223	46%	39	3	8%	33	7	21%	133%
79	Tuscola	355	155	44%	38	21	55%	28	13	46%	-38%
80	Van Buren	756	396	52%	74	29	39%	72	29	40%	0%
81	Washtenaw	1,663	970	58%	218	135	62%	235	151	64%	12%
82	Wayne	60,090	46,029	77%	9,423	5,512	58%	9,293	5,570	60%	1%
83	Wexford**	242	117	48%	26	14	54%	27	14	52%	0%
	Statewide Total	122,697	80,034	65%	17,494	9,094	52%	16,967	9,087	54%	0%

<sup>\*</sup> Missaukee County is now part of a dual county - Missaukee/Wexford - and data is combined under Wexford. Note: Percents may not add due to rounding.

#### CASES WITHOUT EARNED INCOME USING PROJECT ZERO METHODOLOGY

WAYNE COUNTY D	NETRICT		JULY, 1996			JULY, 2002			AUGUS	ST, 2002	
OFFICES	JISTRICT	Target Group Cases	Number Without Earned Income	Percent Without Earned Income	Target Group Cases	Number Without Earned Income	Percent Without Earned Income	Target Group Cases	Number Without Earned Income	Percent Without Earned Income	Percent Change From Previous Month
ZONE VII											
41 Fort Wayne		2,664	2,038	77%	383	211	55%	387	217	56%	3%
43 Glendale		1,511	1,119	74%	750	420	56%	745	434	58%	3%
49 Grand River/Wa	arren	1,677	1,360	81%	295	153	52%	311	167	54%	9%
55 Hamtramck		2,204	1,710	78%	243	102	42%	222	85	38%	-17%
56 Highland Park		1,844	1,480	80%	318	195	61%	314	203	65%	4%
57 Medbury		1,950	1,588	81%	527	305	58%	510	294	58%	-4%
59 McNichols/God	ldard	3,332	2,674	80%	453	293	65%	457	310	68%	6%
73 Forest/Ellery		1,534	1,156	75%	450	274	61%	445	276	62%	1%
74 Kercheval		1,684	1,265	75%	367	190	52%	370	208	56%	9%
76 Maddelein*					837	516	62%	839	516	62%	0%
79 Warren/Conner	,	1,997	1,682	84%	613	379	62%	596	365	61%	-4%
80 Lafayette/Hospital	Cooridor	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
TOTAL		20,397	16,072	79%	5,236	3,038	58%	5,196	3,075	59%	1%
ZONE VIII											
13 Redford		2,181	1,520	70%	195	110	56%	167	88	53%	-20%
14 Lincoln Park		1,946	1,303	67%	213	112	53%	189	108	57%	-4%
15 Greydale/Grand	d River	3,115	2,473	79%	642	387	60%	681	423	62%	9%
17 Greenfield/Joy		2,977	2,352	79%	443	244	55%	434	263	61%	8%
18 Taylor		1,988	1,203	61%	205	118	58%	192	117	61%	-1%
19 Inkster		2,826	1,813	64%	503	240	48%	473	238	50%	-1%
32 Tireman/Centra	ıl	1,921	1,458	76%	804	549	68%	788	553	70%	1%
35 Schoolcraft/Sta	nsbury	3,032	2,349	77%	593	368	62%	575	353	61%	-4%
39 Fullerton/Jeffrie	S	2,677	2,199	82%	588	345	59%	595	349	59%	1%
TOTAL		19,986	14,471	72%	3,598	2,128	59%	4,094	2,492	61%	17%
99 WAYNE - OTH	ER	126	71	11%	1	1	100%	3	3	100%	200%
WAYNE COUNTY T	OTAL	60,090	46,029	77%	9,423	5,512	58%	9,293	5,570	60%	1%
OUTSTATE TOTAL		62,607	34,005	54%	8,071	3,582	44%	7,674	3,517	46%	-2%
STATE TOTALS		122,697	80,034	65%	17,494	9,094	52%	16,967	9,087	54%	0%
PROJECT SITE TO	TAL	4,691	2,807	60%	17,494	9,094	52%	16,967	9,087	54%	0%

<sup>\*\*</sup> Wayne County Districts have been realigned into Zones VII and VIII as outlined above effectived August 2002.

Note: Percents may not add due to rounding.